

IN THE SEYCHELLES COURT OF APPEAL

Narajan Alphonse Appellants
Jose Pillay
John François

V.

The Republic Respondent

Criminal Appeals Nos. 9, 10 and 11

of 1987

RULING

As regards appellant François he filed his notice of appeal in time. Leave in his case to appeal against sentence has to be obtained. Leave is now being sought about 1½ years after conviction as he was convicted on 30.9.86. We have heard no good reasons for extending time.

As regards appellant Alphonse - he has to-date not filed a notice of appeal. He was sentenced on 30.9.86. In law he is not before us. There is no question of extending time in this case.

As regards appellant Pillay no notice of appeal has been filed, at least not on the records filed with us. Mr. Lucas is now attempting to file a notice of appeal. No good or sufficient reason has been advanced for the non-filing of the notice of appeal - and we are not prepared to accept at this stage the notice of appeal in the hands of Mr. Lucas.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

In the circumstances we find no merit in the applications before us for leave to appeal out of time and we dismiss all the three applications.

A. Munaga
..... President of Appeal

The Republic of
W. de
..... Justice of Appeal

C. del. Stajal
..... Justice of Appeal

Dated this ^{21st} day of March, 1988
1988

In reports submitted to the court in the matter of appeal in this case, it is stated that appeal against sentence was to be entered and leave is now being sought *about 10 years* after the conviction was pronounced on 20/1/88. No leave from appeal is sought for extending time.

As regards appeal of A. Munaga, a notice of appeal was not filed in time of appeal. It is stated that on 20/1/88, the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal.

In reports submitted to the court in the matter of appeal, it is stated that the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal. It is stated that the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal. It is stated that the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal.

In the case of appeal of W. de, it is stated that the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal. It is stated that the court in the case of appeal was not in a position to entertain the appeal.

.....
Justice of Appeal