# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF SEYCHELLES 

## SEYCHELLES SAVINGS BANK <br> VERSUS

## 1. WILVEN ALCINDOR

## PATRICK BIJOUX

## 2. ALEX PORT LOUIS <br> DEFENDANT

PLAINTIFF

Civil Side No 299 of 2005

Mr. F. Ally for the Plaintiff
Defendants absent/unrepresented

## JUDGMENT

## Perera J

The plaintiff bank granted two loans to the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant. In the first loan agreement dated $12^{\text {th }}$ November 1998, a sum of Rs.50,000 was granted with the $2^{\text {nd }}$ defendant as the guarantor. It was agreed inter alia that the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant would repay the said loan with interest calculated in a sum of Rs.10,870.20 by 48 monthly instalments of Rs.1268.13 per month, and that all interest, loan repayments and any other charges would be repaid not later than $28^{\text {th }}$ October 2002.

By a second agreement dated $1^{\text {st }}$ March 2001, the plaintiff was granted a further sum of Rs. 50,000 as an overdraft, with the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ defendants as guarantors. That amount was repayable by the $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2001, at the rate of $10 \%$ per annum.

It is averred that at the end of June 2005, the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant is indebted to the plaintiff company in a sum of Rs. $38,370.85$ on the first agreement and Rs. $81,250.55$ on the second agreement. By an "acknowledgement of debt" dated $15^{\text {th }}$ December 2004, (P5) the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant had undertaken to pay the total sum of Rs.119,622.40 by monthly instalments of not less than Rs.1200. It was further agreed by him that if he defaulted payment, the outstanding debt shall become due and payable without further notice.

Notice of the plaint was served on the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ defendants. However as they defaulted appearance, the case was fixed for exparte hearing. At the hearing, Ms. Elice Paulette Aglae, the Debt Recovery Officer of the plaintiff bank testified that the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant had defaulted payment on both agreements and also has not honoured the "acknowledgement of debt", and that consequently, he was now indebted to the bank in a total sum of Rs.121,282.40 at interest of 10\% per annum.

Accordingly, the Court being satisfied on the basis of the oral and documentary evidence that the $1^{\text {st }}$ defendant has failed to repay the loans on both agreements, makes order as follows-

1. Judgment is entered in favour of the plaintiff in a sum of Rs. $38,370.85$ together with interest at $10 \%$ per annum from June 2005, payable by the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ defendants, jointly and severally.
Judgment is entered in favour of the plaintiff in a sum of Rs.81.250.55 together with interest at $10 \%$ per annum from end of June 2005, payable by the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ defendants, jointly and severally. 2. The plaintiff will also be entitled to costs of action.

## A.R.PERERA

## JUDGE

Dated this $9^{\text {th }}$ day of June 2006

